

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/608,202	MAHESH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri H. Phan	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course.	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment filed</u>	on August 19, 2004 and Jan	<u>uary 3, 2005</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-74</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>30 June 2000</u> are accepted by the E	xaminer.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN 	e been received. e been received in Applicatio cuments have been received of this communication to file	n No I in this national stage application from	
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal patent application (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXA	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE	OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in to T. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review s Amendment / Comment or .84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Su Paper No./l Paper No./l 7. Examiner's 8. Examiner's 9. Other	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
PRIMARY EXAMINER	•		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

This Office Action is in response to the Response/Amendment filed on August 19th,
 Claims 1-74 are now allowing in the application.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney **Desmund Gean** (Reg# 52,937) on January 3rd, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIM:

The following changes to the claims 56, 59 and 62 has been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant:

In claim 56, line 11, delete the apostrophe (`) in front of the word -- to --.

In claim 59, line 11, delete the apostrophe (') in front of the word -- to --.

In claim 62, line 11, delete the apostrophe (`) in front of the word -- to --.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-74 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1-74 are considered allowable since when reading the claims in light of the specification, none of the references of record-alone or in combination disclose or suggest the combination of limitations specified in the independent claims including.

Substantially regarding claims 1, 35, 68 and 74, the prior art of record fails to disclose the computer program product, system and method for facilitating communications between the network node and the Head End of the access network including a plurality of nodes which communicate with the Head End via upstream channel and downstream channel, which comprise configuring the Head End to utilize the first modulation profile for receiving communication signals from the network node via the first channel, detecting the change in the channel condition on the first channel and dynamically configuring the Head End to use the second modulation profile for receiving communication signals on the first channel, wherein the dynamically configuring is performed in response to the channel condition change being detected on the first channel, wherein especially the channel condition change includes a Forward Error Correction (FEC) factor value change.

Substantially regarding claims 23 and 56, the prior art of record further fails to disclose the system and method for facilitating communications between the network node and the Head End of the access network as the same manner set forth in claim 1; especially, wherein the dynamic modulation profile change occurs in response to the UN-FEC factor value increasing above the predetermined value of j percent, where the UN-FEC factor value corresponds to the ratio of the number of corrupted packets received via the first channel which can not be corrected using Forward Error Correction to the total number of packets received via the first channel during the predetermined time interval.

Substantially regarding claims 26, 59 and 71, the prior art of record further fails to disclose the computer program product, system and method for facilitating communications between the network node and the Head End of the access network as the same manner set forth in claim 1; especially, wherein the dynamic modulation profile change occurs in response to the UN-FEC factor value decreases below the predetermined value of j percent, where the UN-FEC factor value corresponds to the ratio of the number of corrupted packets received via the first channel which can not be corrected using Forward Error Correction to the total number of packets received via the first channel during a predetermined time interval.

Substantially regarding claims 29, 62 and 72, the prior art of record further fails to disclose the system and method for facilitating communications between the network node and the Head End of the access network as the same manner set forth in claim 1; especially, wherein

Art Unit: 2661

the dynamic modulation profile change occurs in response to the signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio value on the first channel being above a predetermined value of n dB, the FEC value being below the predetermined value of k percent and UN-FEC factor value factor value being below the predetermined value of j percent.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jäverbring et al. (U.S.6,604,216), Proctor, Jr. (U.S.6,785,323) and Eriksson et al. ("Comparison of Link Quality Control Strategies for Packet Data Services in EDGE", 2-1999, Ericsson Radio System AB, S-16480, Stockholm Sweden, pages 938-942) are all cited to show devices and methods for improving link control for supporting multiple modulation schemes in the communication architectures, which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri H. Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/608,202

Page 6

Art Unit: 2661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone

number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri H. Phan

January 3, 2005

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER